

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/924,966		<b>Applicant(s)</b> TAKEYAMA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Adrienne C. Johnstone		<b>Art Unit</b> 1733	

**All Participants:**

(1) Adrienne C. Johnstone.

(2) Carl Schaukowitch.

**Date of Interview:** 18 June 2004

**Status of Application:** rejected

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none*

Claims discussed:  
*none*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*none*

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants confirmed their previously stated intention to allow this application to go abandoned in favor of a divisional application, filed concurrently with the May 10, 2004 extension of time in this application.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)